

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 29 | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 99.96 % | 97.78 % | 11 | 95.51 % | 87 | 90 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 98.58 % | 92.74 % | 4 | 87.58 % | 96 | 98 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 7.84 | 5.04 | 1 | 4.86 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 91.20 % | 90.72 % | 21 | 82.17 % | 32 | 41 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 86.58 % | 69.83 % | 7 | 71.17 % | 72 | 77 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 87.88 % | 82.04 % | 10 | 81.54 % | 56 | 62 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 39.39 % | 36.34 % | 11 | 45.68 % | 0 | 37 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 95.76 % | 98.47 % | 23 | 96.19 % | 30 | 29 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 4 / 29 | Nationally: | 74 75 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$14,025 | \$19,909 | | \$27,510 | 165 Units | 26.10 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$14,072 | \$16,414 | | \$15,239 | 318 Units | 50.20 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$18,453 | \$16,120 | | \$20,932 | 140 Units | 22.10 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$1,849 | \$5,736 | | \$3,121 | 10 Units | 1.60 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lancaster PA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

PJ:

State:*

National:**

Rental

Homebuyer

Homeowner

\$64,840

\$102,649

\$98,643

\$68,052

\$42,221

\$77,150

\$20,179

\$16,377

\$23,791

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ:

National Avg:

3.3 %

1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.91

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| RACE: | | | | |
| White: | 58.2 | 22.6 | 51.4 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 18.4 | 11.1 | 18.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|------|------|------|-----|
| Hispanic | 23.4 | 64.0 | 29.3 | 0.0 |
|----------|------|------|------|-----|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| 1 Person: | 48.7 | 15.0 | 34.3 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 26.6 | 22.3 | 17.9 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 17.7 | 22.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 6.3 | 24.2 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 0.6 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 45.6 | 17.5 | 34.3 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 8.9 | 1.9 | 23.6 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 34.8 | 35.4 | 19.3 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 8.9 | 37.3 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 0.6 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|------|------------------|
| Section 8: | 19.0 | 0.0 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | |
| Other: | 1.9 | |
| No Assistance: | 79.1 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 18

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lancaster

State: PA

Group Rank: 74
 (Percentile)

State Rank: 4 / 29 PJs

Overall Rank: 75
 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 73.95% | 91.2 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 54.12% | 86.58 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 87.88 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.11% | 95.76 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.750 | 0.24 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

